

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,806	11/26/2003	Charles L. Compton	CCCI 0114 PUS	9770	
50764 BROOKS KUS	7590 02/01/200 ⁻ SHMAN P.C.	EXAMINER			
1000 TOWN CENTER			LEE, DAVID J		
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,806	COMPTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David Lee	2613	

Advisory Action	10/723,806	COMPTON ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	David Lee	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
HE REPLY FILED 09 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. S				
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because				
. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues f	or			
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324))_			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling	g the			
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	e a			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because	e:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
•						
•						



Applicant argues that the baseband digital signals of Deng are not radio frequency signals. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 4. Digital signals are sent from cross-connect 106 to the central office 102 for optical modulation by the plurality of CWDM lasers. "Radio frequency" signals are understood as being the portion of the electromagnetic spectrum beyond the infrared range (e.g., radio frequency signals operate in the frequency range of MHz). The digital signals of Deng are not "optical" signals; rather, they are understood as operating in the digital spectrum with frequencies in the order of MHz. Therefore, they are reasonably understood as radio frequency signals.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600